

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/678,175	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KONRAD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Helen Rossoshek	<b>Art Unit</b> 2825	

**All Participants:**

- (1) Examiner Helen Rossoshek.
- (2) Steven Laut (Registration No. 47,736).

**Status of Application:** Appeal Brief

- (3) \_\_\_\_\_.
- (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 January 2006

**Time:** N/A

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
1,10,29,36

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed an amendment for claims 1,10,29,36. Agreement with Applicant's representative was reached.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

A. M. Thompson  
Primary Examiner  
Technology Center 2800



\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)